1 00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:04.560Presentation up is going to be from Boeing Molding, 2 00:00:04.860 --> 00:00:08.160 I'm sorry, from Boeing, exploring the visual t h a. 3 00:00:08.580 --> 00:00:11.920 So Darren and Moaz, are you on your way? Oh, there you are. 4 00:00:12.460 --> 00:00:16.400 So they both have degrees from, uh, Emory Riddle. They both work at Boeing. 5 00:00:17.380 --> 00:00:19.320 Uh, both work in flight tests, 6 00:00:20.020 --> 00:00:23.560 but I see that's where the similarity ends because Darren has a beard and well 7 00:00:23.590 --> 00:00:26.240 does not. But, uh, so, uh, 8 00:00:26.300 --> 00:00:29.960 Darren is a technical fellow for Boeing over 25 years of experience. 9 00:00:30.000 --> 00:00:33.960 I know you guys all, uh, got introduced to him yesterday at the, the workshop. 10 00:00:34.020 --> 00:00:37.960 But, uh, one of the things that I would really like to congratulate Darren on, 11 00:00:38.540 --> 00:00:41.320 we both sit on the manufacturer's flight test council, which is, you know, 12 00:00:41.320 --> 00:00:43.360 one of the reasons I'm still in the job I'm in,

WEBVTT

13 00:00:43.360 --> 00:00:45.040 because that's one of the best things, uh, 14 00:00:45.040 --> 00:00:48.160 about going to work is the Thursday meetings because there's such good technical 15 00:00:48.360 --> 00:00:49.240 exchanges with all the people. 16 00:00:49.300 --> 00:00:52.760 And Darren and Terry are the glue that holds that, that organization together. 17 00:00:52.860 --> 00:00:56.960 So really appreciate that. Uh, and then, uh, 18 00:00:56.960 --> 00:01:00.560 started at Aerotech, uh, which is luckily, uh, 19 00:01:00.780 --> 00:01:02.160 not very far away from Boeing. 20 00:01:02.260 --> 00:01:05.200 So he just increased his commute by a couple of minutes by, 21 00:01:05.260 --> 00:01:07.240 by changing jobs there. Uh, 22 00:01:07.300 --> 00:01:10.160 and he just found out he is married to one of the ba uh, 23 00:01:10.160 --> 00:01:12.560 flight Sciences engineers that Mo and I, uh, 24 00:01:12.560 --> 00:01:16.560 knew from doing the performance testing on C-series. So congratulations on that. 25 00:01:16.700 --> 00:01:18.640

And I heard she was smart enough to leave aviation. 26 00:01:18.780 --> 00:01:22.520 So good job on diversifying and not having all your eggs in the aviation basket. 27 00:01:22.740 --> 00:01:26.600 So, guys, come on up and, uh, we're ready for exploring the visual t h a 28 00:01:36.330 --> 00:01:38.890 I didn't realize you could, uh, marry into this conference. 29 00:01:42.090 --> 00:01:45.270 All right, well, we're excited to be here today and, uh, 30 00:01:45.960 --> 00:01:48.510 thank you for giving us this opportunities too. 31 00:01:49.380 --> 00:01:53.920 So we're working through updating our risk processes at Boeing, and, um, 32 00:01:55.080 --> 00:01:55.760 as part of that, 33 00:01:55.760 --> 00:02:00.500 we started exploring different T HHA formats and processes and we're looking 34 00:02:00.570 --> 00:02:05.270 into things and we kind of uncovered something that we really liked, 35 00:02:05.500 --> 00:02:07.430 some of the things that it made us think about, 36 00:02:07.690 --> 00:02:12.050 and we don't know where it's going yet. So as part of this experiment, 37 00:02:12.050 --> 00:02:16.470 we decided to bring it here and, uh, see what comes out of it, 38 00:02:16.490 --> 00:02:18.910

cuz we're looking forward to some feedback from the rest of you. 39 00:02:22.440 --> 00:02:24.790 So all of us are familiar with, uh, 40 00:02:24.790 --> 00:02:28.940 the T HHA as the preferred means of flight test, uh, 41 00:02:28.940 --> 00:02:30.380 process and risk analysis. 42 00:02:30.890 --> 00:02:34.620 Most of US American based testers can source our process of back to 43 00:02:34.910 --> 00:02:38.970 40 40, 26, or male standard 8 82. Um, 44 00:02:39.230 --> 00:02:43.680 but this is not the first time that ths have been part of the flight test safety 45 00:02:43.960 --> 00:02:47.640 workshop. In fact, I think it was the theme for the 2018 symposium, 46 00:02:47.970 --> 00:02:49.960 which was kicked off by Pat Moran when he said, 47 00:02:50.930 --> 00:02:55.660 most organizations have 90% commonality between them with the primary difference 48 00:02:55.660 --> 00:03:00.320 being in format. When we look at the th a process across the industry, 49 00:03:00.320 --> 00:03:02.280 we've talked about this today and yesterday, 50 00:03:02.590 --> 00:03:04.400 there's subjectivity associated with it. 51 00:03:04.400 --> 00:03:07.360

There's a lot of brainstorming associated with it. Uh, the, 52 00:03:07.430 --> 00:03:09.800 it's purposely subjective, it relies on our experience, 53 00:03:09.820 --> 00:03:13.360 but it doesn't have some of the rigor that a lot of the other tools don't have. 54 00:03:14.080 --> 00:03:18.940 Uh, other industries have tools such as s TPA or, uh, 55 00:03:18.970 --> 00:03:20.060 fish bones and so on. 56 00:03:20.440 --> 00:03:23.460 And we were looking at those tools and wondering is anything from them that we 57 00:03:23.460 --> 00:03:28.060 can bring into, into the th a that might level it up some level it up some more. 58 00:03:29.340 --> 00:03:32.120 During the process update that Darren's working on, uh, 59 00:03:32.140 --> 00:03:35.490 we decided to think about our airplanes today. 60 00:03:35.630 --> 00:03:36.970 We realized that at least at Boeing, 61 00:03:37.170 --> 00:03:38.770 our airplanes are getting more and more complex. 62 00:03:39.230 --> 00:03:42.610 Our ths are getting more and more detailed, more and more intricate, 63 00:03:42.830 --> 00:03:44.650 and we're starting to get to the point where we're,

00:03:44.650 --> 00:03:46.330 we're getting stuck in this wall of text. 65 00:03:50.590 --> 00:03:54.890 So a few, uh, format examples, again from the 2018 workshop, uh, which was, 66 00:03:54.890 --> 00:03:59.490 again, themed around ths. Uh, you'll notice that for the most part, uh, 67 00:03:59.490 --> 00:04:02.940 they're all fairly textual. Uh, they're all, 68 00:04:03.080 --> 00:04:05.620 all the elements are about the same. One of them has graphics, 69 00:04:06.280 --> 00:04:08.420but fundamentally they're all walls of text, 70 00:04:08.420 --> 00:04:10.820 which we're seeing increase in length and density. 71 00:04:14.770 --> 00:04:17.550 So then we decided that perhaps we should, uh, 72 00:04:17.970 --> 00:04:21.870 do a little bit of a survey amongst any of our friends that we knew that worked 73 00:04:21.870 --> 00:04:26.110 flight test. And, um, as we started those conversations, 74 00:04:26.130 --> 00:04:29.350 the good news was there's a lot of energy. And, uh, 75 00:04:29.970 --> 00:04:32.470 we realized pretty quickly you've gotta have some time. 76 00:04:32.530 --> 00:04:36.430 If you ask somebody what they think about their th a process, uh,

00:04:37.430 --> 00:04:39.650 the other we uncovered, there's, um, 78 00:04:40.320 --> 00:04:42.650 some organizations also use it as their, 79 00:04:42.940 --> 00:04:47.130 their main repository of her lessons learned or lessons identified. 80 00:04:48.010 --> 00:04:49.740 And, uh, the, 81 00:04:49.760 --> 00:04:54.110 the final thing was kind of everybody thought that they had room for 82 00:04:54.110 --> 00:04:57.670 improvement, and so nobody thinks they've got the holy grail. 83 00:04:59.000 --> 00:05:03.770 Then I went back and I listened to the tutorial from 2018 again 84 00:05:03.960 --> 00:05:08.610 because it's on our flight test safety committee website. And, um, 85 00:05:08.780 --> 00:05:11.450 there was a couple quotes that really stood out for me. 86 00:05:11.450 --> 00:05:14.720 The first was from Pat Moran himself, and he, you know, 87 00:05:14.720 --> 00:05:19.200 basically said that his pet peeve is the way that we're constrained by our 88 00:05:19.440 --> 00:05:21.680 templates and the tools, the formats that we use, 89 00:05:22.340 --> 00:05:26.840 and the wish that there's gotta be some better way to tell that whole story

00:05:27.500 --> 00:05:30.840 and how to wrap up all those loose ends with, with our mitigations. 91 00:05:31.380 --> 00:05:32.520 And then there was someone in the, 92 00:05:32.580 --> 00:05:35.320 in the crowd that spoke up at one point and said, uh, 93 00:05:35.860 --> 00:05:40.000 you can never be sure that what comes outta your mouth is what meaningfully goes 94 00:05:40.030 --> 00:05:41.280 into the other person's mind. 95 00:05:42.060 --> 00:05:47.020And that kind of brought out that that big piece related to 96 00:05:47.200 --> 00:05:51.580 why we do th HHAs is the communication part. Uh, and that's a critical, 97 00:05:52.180 --> 00:05:54.070 critical piece of it. Uh, 98 00:05:54.930 --> 00:05:59.200 so then kind of looking for the common pain points. We, 99 00:05:59.300 --> 00:06:04.200 we often hear about the copy paste errors and just, just, um, as a, 100 00:06:04.260 --> 00:06:08.760 as a big pain point. Uh, then there's the one about the expert input. 101 00:06:08.760 --> 00:06:11.840 We heard some about that last yesterday. Um, 102 00:06:11.950 --> 00:06:16.160 whether it's somebody that's new to flight test or that there's something that's

00:06:16.260 --> 00:06:20.890 new and, and technically different because of the airplane that we're testing, 104 00:06:21.400 --> 00:06:25.570 there's always something that we're just not quite as expert as we wish we were. 105 00:06:25.570 --> 00:06:30.170 When it comes time to identifying hazards and risks, those unknown unknowns. 106 00:06:31.800 --> 00:06:36.300 And then finally, there's that, that age old question about briefing. 107 00:06:36.300 --> 00:06:38.100 How do we brief? Do we read every page? 108 00:06:38.560 --> 00:06:42.780 How do we balance between the time available and the need to 109 00:06:42.890 --> 00:06:45.380 communicate a more complete story? 110 00:06:46.880 --> 00:06:49.930 What we definitely don't want to do is we don't want to be walking out of the, 111 00:06:49.950 --> 00:06:54.270 uh, brief hearing somebody go, man, we just briefed 86 pages. 112 00:06:54.590 --> 00:06:59.390 I think I remembered two of them, um, which is one of our challenges, obviously. 113 00:07:01.580 --> 00:07:05.400 So we decided, well, maybe it's time to do a risk assessment of DHAs. 114 00:07:06.610 --> 00:07:11.110 And I really like Bill Dean as one of our safety officers at Boeing in, 115 00:07:11.110 --> 00:07:11.943

in the uk. 116 00:07:12.250 --> 00:07:17.230 And unfortunately I can't mimic his perfect British accent. 117 00:07:17.650 --> 00:07:18.710 But, uh, 118 00:07:18.870 --> 00:07:23.590 I really like the way that he summed it up when he said that a th a is 119 00:07:23.590 --> 00:07:27.990 simply a visualization of the whole safety argument. And I really like that. 120 00:07:28.570 --> 00:07:30.430 And then thought a little more. 121 00:07:31.790 --> 00:07:36.690 If you think about the life cycle of a T hha, there's two very distinct phases. 122 00:07:37.510 --> 00:07:42.320 The first is that one that starts with the authoring of the th a and goes 123 00:07:42.320 --> 00:07:45.880 all the way through the review and approval process of your test plan and your 124 00:07:46.300 --> 00:07:48.580 ths. And so there's, 125 00:07:48.580 --> 00:07:51.860 there's that discovery process and then the approval process. And, 126 00:07:51.880 --> 00:07:56.300 and there'll be quite a few people that will need to look at that and, 127 00:07:56.960 --> 00:08:00.100 and understand what's, what's being discovered.

00:08:01.410 --> 00:08:04.030 But then that second phase is another really critical phase, 129 00:08:04.050 --> 00:08:08.670 and that's the one when it comes time to execute and to make sure that 130 00:08:09.260 --> 00:08:13.790 that communication flows through to the entire test crew that we're all seeing 1.31 00:08:13.810 --> 00:08:15.310 and understanding the same thing. 132 00:08:18.360 --> 00:08:22.740 So one of the other things that I recall distinctly and was reminded of when I 133 00:08:23.210 --> 00:08:26.780 watched the video again from the 2018 tutorial, 134 00:08:27.920 --> 00:08:31.860 was that there was some, some confusion and some disagreement, uh, 135 00:08:32.160 --> 00:08:35.980 and some spirited discussion about the, uh, 136 00:08:36.300 --> 00:08:38.900 relative importance of the different elements in a t hha, 137 00:08:39.480 --> 00:08:43.740 how they relate to each other, which one comes first. And, uh, 138 00:08:44.630 --> 00:08:48.010 at the end of the day, everyone kind of agreed that what really mattered was, 139 00:08:48.270 --> 00:08:52.500 is arriving at the, the destination. But 140 00:08:54.070 --> 00:08:57.390 that kind of, just as I thought about it more, it,

141 00:08:57.650 --> 00:09:02.270 it really points out how abstract our ths are and the 142 00:09:02.270 --> 00:09:07.190 difficulty of everyone having the same visual picture 143 00:09:07.250 --> 00:09:11.790 in their mind. And perhaps we need some way to visualize the relationships, 144 00:09:11.850 --> 00:09:14.710 the relative importance and the chronological flow. 145 00:09:18.130 --> 00:09:22.840 So if you think about the fact that ths are bounded by formats and, 146 00:09:22.980 --> 00:09:23.813 and our tools, 147 00:09:24.270 --> 00:09:28.760 they're bounded by our collective experience and the ever-changing landscape of 148 00:09:28.760 --> 00:09:29.920 the technologies we're testing, 149 00:09:30.850 --> 00:09:35.770 I think you can make a pretty solid argument that even the best practice ths 150 00:09:36.350 --> 00:09:39.730 are still going to represent an incomplete communication of the whole safety 151 00:09:40.050 --> 00:09:42.940 argument, which is a little bit disconcerting. 1.52 00:09:42.940 --> 00:09:47.020 Cause the whole reason we do 'em is to try and get that whole picture right.

00:09:47.800 --> 00:09:52.420 And so how often are we kind of left with black and white instead of color or 1.54 00:09:52.420 --> 00:09:53.940 just one corner of the painting? 155 00:09:56.370 --> 00:10:00.720 So that all aside, it left me with two big questions. The first is, 156 00:10:00.780 --> 00:10:05.040 can we reduce the risk of incomplete communication and can we improve the 157 00:10:05.040 --> 00:10:08.240 understanding of the whole safety argument? And then secondly, 158 00:10:08.310 --> 00:10:10.920 what if there was a format that made th HHAs more visual, 159 00:10:12.790 --> 00:10:16.460 which led me to the fact I could only come to one conclusion. 160 00:10:17.040 --> 00:10:18.620 We need picture books for pilots. 161 00:10:20.170 --> 00:10:24.070 And part of that realization was I was part of a, uh, 162 00:10:25.030 --> 00:10:29.510 a risk assessment for a, for a future demonstrator project. 163 00:10:30.620 --> 00:10:34.640 And so there was a format that I had never used before that were taught. 164 00:10:35.020 --> 00:10:38.870 And I struggled with it a little bit right out of the gate. 165 00:10:38.950 --> 00:10:43.570 I liked how visual it was, but it was, they had a bunch of different terms.

00:10:44.580 --> 00:10:47.920 And all of a sudden I realized that if I just took all the terms that we use for 167 00:10:47.920 --> 00:10:48.880 our T HHA elements, 168 00:10:48.980 --> 00:10:53.510 and I substituted them into this new format, 169 00:10:53.650 --> 00:10:55.430 all of a sudden it made a lot of sense to me. 170 00:10:56.280 --> 00:11:00.980 And so that's when I realized really what we need is picture books for flight 171 00:11:00.980 --> 00:11:05.580 test engineers too. And maybe if, excuse me, 172 00:11:06.150 --> 00:11:10.100 maybe if we just put it all together in one, one new package, 173 00:11:10.760 --> 00:11:12.300 we could all have a better result. 174 00:11:15.920 --> 00:11:20.460 So the method that Darren found was the encountered rather, 175 00:11:20.600 --> 00:11:24.100 was the bow time method of, uh, risk assessment. Uh, 176 00:11:24.120 --> 00:11:27.580 and the only time I'll say this, Darren, your wardrobe was not a mistake today. 177 00:11:28.690 --> 00:11:33.470 Uh, so the bow time methodology was pioneered by the oil and gas industry in the 178 00:11:33.470 --> 00:11:37.430

eighties and nineties, uh, after some high profile oil platform incidents, 179 00:11:38.360 --> 00:11:42.620 uh, in 2018. In fact, uh, Ben Luther brought it up in this forum. So, uh, 180 00:11:42.620 --> 00:11:46.360 it's not a new concept to the flight test safety workshop. Uh, 181 00:11:46.360 --> 00:11:49.160 since it's a visual tool, it does a direct job of communication, 182 00:11:49.500 --> 00:11:54.000 of communicating how, uh, risk and high hazard hazardous situations develop. 183 00:11:54.900 --> 00:11:55.760 And additionally, 184 00:11:55.860 --> 00:12:00.560 it can show areas where there might be mitigation gaps in your risk analysis by 185 00:12:00.560 --> 00:12:04.240 being this graphical tool that shows barriers in between causes, 186 00:12:04.240 --> 00:12:06.700 effects and hazards. Uh, 187 00:12:06.700 --> 00:12:09.580 the neat thing about this tool is that it's industry and situation agnostic. 188 00:12:10.130 --> 00:12:13.020 It's used by a large variety of aviation companies. 189 00:12:13.020 --> 00:12:16.100 And in even the UK's civil aviation authority, 190 $00:12:17.390 \rightarrow 00:12:20.620$ there are some nomenclature differences to how the bow tie is written, uh,

191 00:12:20.620 --> 00:12:21.620 in its traditional sense. 192 00:12:21.880 --> 00:12:26.020 We are using the whole presentation today using our th a terminology that a lot 193 00:12:26.020 --> 00:12:27.930 more of us might be familiar with. Uh, 194 00:12:27.930 --> 00:12:29.770 the question we had after getting introduced to it was, 195 00:12:29.870 --> 00:12:33.810 can the bow tie method enhance or supplement the th as it stands today? 196 00:12:35.410 --> 00:12:38.670 So we'll do a bit of, uh, level setting, make sure we're all on the same page, 197 00:12:39.530 --> 00:12:41.670 uh, and talk through the th a nomenclature, 198 00:12:42.320 --> 00:12:46.510 which I think most of us are familiar with. Uh, first we have a, uh, situation, 199 00:12:46.600 --> 00:12:50.390 which is the what are we doing that creates test related risk, uh, 200 00:12:50.390 --> 00:12:54.110 the environment, the situation, the test technique, intentional failure, 201 00:12:54.170 --> 00:12:57.020 and so on. The next thing we have is the hazard, uh, 202 00:12:57.020 --> 00:13:00.740 which is the undesirable event that you may not want to be in, 203 00:13:00.740 --> 00:13:05.160

but nothing's actually just gone wrong yet. Next we have the cause, 204 00:13:05.160 --> 00:13:09.680 which is the contributing factor that would lead to the hazard itself, uh, 205 00:13:09.680 --> 00:13:13.890 the effect, which is the impact of the hazard occurring. 206 00:13:14.600 --> 00:13:17.860 And then finally, the most important with the thing we're all talking about, uh, 207 00:13:17.860 --> 00:13:18.520 the mitigation, 208 00:13:18.520 --> 00:13:21.860 the steps you can take to reduce the probability of the cause or the severity of 209 00:13:22.400 --> 00:13:26.080 the effect. And the way we interpret the th a, uh, 210 00:13:26.140 --> 00:13:29.680 is that during the situation, the cause may lead to the hazard, 211 00:13:29.680 --> 00:13:31.240 which then in turn would lead to the effect. 212 00:13:34.880 --> 00:13:39.140 Uh, so we'll build an example of a th a in bow tie. Uh, 213 00:13:39.140 --> 00:13:42.180 we picked a common fixed-wing example, uh, style demonstration. 214 00:13:42.260 --> 00:13:46.020 A lot of us are familiar with in this room, with a even more common hazard, uh, 215 $00:13:46.020 \rightarrow 00:13:49.360$ which is the loss of control. Some, uh,

216 00:13:50.410 --> 00:13:54.600 usual suspects for the causes include insufficient elevator authority, 217 00:13:55.500 --> 00:13:57.760 uh, the unexpected aerodynamic characteristics, 218 00:13:57.760 --> 00:14:01.320 especially as you're opening up the envelope and pitch up at high angles of 219 00:14:01.320 --> 00:14:05.790 attack, which are common to swapping aircraft. Some of the effects could be, 220 00:14:06.290 --> 00:14:09.110 uh, impact with terrain, a catastrophic scenario of course, 221 00:14:09.770 --> 00:14:12.790 and structural damage due to buffering or any load factor. 222 00:14:15.880 --> 00:14:19.020 The next step is mitigate, mitigating our causes. Uh, 223 00:14:19.020 --> 00:14:22.540 very similar to doing a T hha, you would go ahead and add your causes in, 224 00:14:22.960 --> 00:14:25.980 in this case, they're very visual. They're in between the cause and the hazard. 225 00:14:26.840 --> 00:14:31.220 Uh, you'll notice that, uh, they're forming a barrier, uh, so to speak. 226 00:14:31.490 --> 00:14:34.620 What you also notice is that some of the, some of the mitigations are repeated. 227 00:14:34.620 --> 00:14:36.860 This is encouraged and required by the method. 228 00:14:37.670 --> 00:14:39.370

And we can start to see how this mitigation, 229 00:14:39.370 --> 00:14:43.210 this method is starting to force consideration of each cause and its associated 230 00:14:43.210 --> 00:14:44.980 mitigation. Uh, 231 00:14:44.980 --> 00:14:49.300 same exercise won't bore with the details of going through with mitigating the 232 00:14:49.300 --> 00:14:53.890 effects. At the end of it all, you'll notice that, uh, 233 00:14:54.070 --> 00:14:58.060 you have a complete t a uh, we realized that in a normal example, 234 00:14:58.070 --> 00:15:01.460 you'd have more than one hazard for a stall. Uh, but for the purposes, 235 00:15:01.460 --> 00:15:02.660 we're limiting it to just this one. 236 00:15:05.680 --> 00:15:07.660 All right, so bow ties. 237 00:15:08.410 --> 00:15:11.420 They're not just for looking snazzy at weddings and flight test safety 2.38 00:15:11.900 --> 00:15:16.500 workshops. Pete has also repeatedly told me they make you look taller. 239 00:15:20.810 --> 00:15:21.643 So 240 00:15:22.900 --> 00:15:26.400 one of the things that really stood out to me that I really found compelling

00:15:26.830 --> 00:15:30.760 when I used this method of visualizing my ths 242 00:15:32.210 --> 00:15:36.270 was the realization that there are three very distinct phases that can occur 243 00:15:36.270 --> 00:15:38.770 during a test event. And 244 00:15:40.380 --> 00:15:43.400 it also just kind of reminded me of more, 245 00:15:43.710 --> 00:15:45.800 more often than I would care to admit. 246 00:15:47.020 --> 00:15:49.900 I have been remiss on the recognition part. 247 00:15:51.150 --> 00:15:55.650 How often has anyone else realized that 248 00:15:55.950 --> 00:15:57.410 the hazard had come true? 249 00:15:57.990 --> 00:16:01.810 And it took them a little while to realize it or missed it all together, 250 00:16:01.830 --> 00:16:03.250 and someone else had to speak up. 251 00:16:03.960 --> 00:16:06.860 And so by putting it this way, 252 00:16:07.300 --> 00:16:11.060 I think it's a lot easier to have a conversation with the rest of my test crew 253 00:16:11.520 --> 00:16:14.540 and make sure that our displays are all set up the way we need to,

00:16:15.230 --> 00:16:19.860 and make sure that we've got proper roles assigned for not just the prevention 255 00:16:19.860 --> 00:16:21.700 side, which we tend to cover pretty well, 256 00:16:22.560 --> 00:16:25.940 but also to make sure that we've got monitoring for the recognition. 2.57 00:16:26.720 --> 00:16:30.700 And then to make sure that beyond that you've got procedures and communication 258 00:16:30.870 --> 00:16:35.820 plans, so that if that hazard, that recognition of a hazard comes true, 259 00:16:36.250 --> 00:16:39.740 everybody shifts into the recovery phase with no delay. 260 00:16:40.680 --> 00:16:44.900 And so I found that really compelling that and get that clarity that your 261 00:16:44.900 --> 00:16:49.420 emergency procedures live over there in between your effects and your hazard. 2.62 00:16:51.530 --> 00:16:52.363 The 263 00:16:53.770 --> 00:16:56.890 Other thing I like about this, uh, is how, 264 00:16:57.110 --> 00:17:02.070 how it really ties creates a very clear link between every 265 00:17:02.080 --> 00:17:05.830 mitigation and the cause or the effect that it's intended to, 266 $00:17:06.290 \rightarrow 00:17:10.230$ to modify and to really bring that clarity.

267 00:17:10.350 --> 00:17:13.030 I think that's really important thing that, um, 268 00:17:13.800 --> 00:17:16.620 is missing in a lot of t hha formats. And we lose, 269 00:17:16.760 --> 00:17:21.460 or it's difficult to make that linkage between a mitigation and what 270 00:17:22.260 --> 00:17:26.040 whatever cause or whatever effect it's intended to, to improve. 271 00:17:30.350 --> 00:17:32.850 And as moo kind of already mentioned, 272 00:17:33.000 --> 00:17:37.330 repeating the medi mitigations then becomes an important piece of that. 273 00:17:37.390 --> 00:17:42.180 So you don't lose that linkage between, even though this is the same mitigation, 274 00:17:43.080 --> 00:17:46.760 uh, it's intended to, to, uh, 275 00:17:47.100 --> 00:17:51.600 affect two different causes. And in, in this case, one of the effects. And, 276 00:17:52.300 --> 00:17:57.050 and so there might be nuances in there as well. Uh, 277 00:17:57.180 --> 00:17:59.530 regardless, it's important to, 278 00:18:00.030 --> 00:18:03.250 to know what those mitigations are expected to do. 279 00:18:05.850 --> 00:18:07.590 And finally, uh,

280 00:18:08.140 --> 00:18:12.990 just giving you the opportunity to focus on a single cause at a time or a 281 00:18:12.990 --> 00:18:13.950 single effect at a time, 282 00:18:13.950 --> 00:18:18.230 providing a little more structure than that unstructured brainstorming that we 283 00:18:18.230 --> 00:18:22.270 usually end up in, uh, is also, uh, an, 284 00:18:22.290 --> 00:18:26.010 an important factor here. And then if there's one that's empty, 285 00:18:26.630 --> 00:18:31.040 or perhaps you could have an orphan mitigation, and that, 286 00:18:31.040 --> 00:18:34.890 that orphan mitigation is a good one to really look at, because you, 287 00:18:35.030 --> 00:18:37.890 it may be an indication if you know it's a mitigation that makes sense. 288 00:18:38.680 --> 00:18:42.450 There's probably only two real reasons that, that you have an orphan. 289 00:18:42.950 --> 00:18:47.850 One is that it's so p that maybe doesn't really belong, and it's, 290 00:18:47.880 --> 00:18:50.860 it's just spurious fluff in your T hha. 291 00:18:51.800 --> 00:18:53.460 Or more importantly, 292 00:18:53.480 --> 00:18:57.300 it might be an indication that you're missing a hazard or a cause or an effect,

293 00:18:58.170 --> 00:18:59.590 and you've gotta dig a little deeper. 294 00:19:02.590 --> 00:19:04.170 And so speaking of digging deeper, 295 00:19:04.170 --> 00:19:08.730 that was one of the other big pieces of the conversation in our 2018 296 00:19:09.010 --> 00:19:11.530 tutorial, right, was, uh, what's the right level? 297 00:19:11.630 --> 00:19:14.930 How do you know when you've gone far enough? What's, how much is enough detail? 298 00:19:15.870 --> 00:19:20.170 And so in this case, let's take the pitch up at High OA as an example, 299 00:19:20.900 --> 00:19:23.000 and if we move that into the hazard position, 300 00:19:23.670 --> 00:19:26.250 the loss of control slides into an effect. 301 00:19:27.170 --> 00:19:31.990 And now if we study that as the hazard, we can uncover new effects, 302 00:19:32.370 --> 00:19:34.230 new causes. And because of that, 303 00:19:34.330 --> 00:19:38.790 now we've got better visibility into some new mitigations. In this case, 304 00:19:38.870 --> 00:19:43.110 I would argue you probably have an engine flame out is probably a new hazard for 305 00:19:43.110 -> 00:19:45.990you to, to write down and explore that.

306 00:19:46.330 --> 00:19:48.110 And so you can shift things left or right. 307 00:19:48.110 --> 00:19:52.550 You can move an effect into the hazard location and drill deeper into the effect 308 00:19:52.550 --> 00:19:54.110 side or vice versa, 309 00:19:54.240 --> 00:19:58.390 until you've convinced yourself that you've bookended all your causes and 310 00:19:58.390 --> 00:20:00.990 effects and gotten full coverage of your t a. 311 00:20:04.420 --> 00:20:08.960 So now, the Darren's talked about how to make a basic bow tie. Um, 312 00:20:09.020 --> 00:20:10.920 we like it, we think it's neat. We, it, 313 00:20:11.030 --> 00:20:14.000 it's certainly easier to look at than all the words, but it, 314 00:20:14.050 --> 00:20:15.120 while painting a nice picture, 315 00:20:15.120 --> 00:20:18.960 it misses some of the things that we appreciate from the t hha and the processes 316 00:20:18.960 --> 00:20:20.280 we use today. Uh, 317 00:20:20.280 --> 00:20:23.720 so here's some stuff that we thought about that were inspired by ths that we 318 00:20:23.720 --> 00:20:25.920 were, were thinking about bringing into the bow tie,

319 00:20:25.920 --> 00:20:29.560 had to paint a more complete picture. Uh, the first one's pretty simple. Uh, 320 00:20:29.990 --> 00:20:34.160 risk assignment, probability, severity, uh, what we already do in ths, 321 00:20:34.320 --> 00:20:37.680 throwing it on here so that you get an even more detailed picture of what you're 322 00:20:37.680 --> 00:20:41.760 looking at in this case, uh, looks like it ended up at a high risk scenario. 323 00:20:42.740 --> 00:20:46.800 The second item is, uh, the concept of a mitigation assessment. 324 00:20:48.020 --> 00:20:50.390 This is from the bow tie method, uh, 325 00:20:50.390 --> 00:20:53.630 but it was a feature that we thought maybe this will help us consider each 326 00:20:53.630 --> 00:20:54.950 mitigation in more detail. Uh, 327 00:20:54.950 --> 00:20:59.230 the bow tie method asks us to consider the criticality and effectiveness of each 328 00:20:59.230 --> 00:21:01.950 mitigation. In this case, I've used, uh, three colors. 329 00:21:02.080 --> 00:21:04.870 We're not sure whether colors make sense numbers or something else, 330 00:21:05.450 -> 00:21:09.110but it's a concept that really made us think about each mitigation in further

331 00:21:09.110 --> 00:21:12.950 detail as to what the impact would be if we lost this during our test point. 332 00:21:17.850 --> 00:21:20.790 And then lastly is the concept of the residual risk assessment. 333 00:21:21.290 --> 00:21:25.430 Now that we've done a mitigation assessment and we have an initial risk, we, 334 00:21:25.490 --> 00:21:29.230 we were wondering if there would be a way in the bow time method to come up with 335 00:21:29.350 --> 00:21:33.890 a more, uh, accurate or honest rather, uh, 336 00:21:34.090 --> 00:21:37.730 residual risk. It starts to tie into our risk matrix, which we're all, uh, 337 00:21:37.730 --> 00:21:41.610 so familiar with, and we also are thinking that it might, uh, 338 00:21:41.820 --> 00:21:43.970 supplement it in a more holistic way. 339 00:21:46.730 --> 00:21:49.350 So what what we're wondering really is, uh, 340 00:21:49.770 --> 00:21:53.110 if the bot tie could be the ergonomics update to the th a, 341 00:21:53.110 --> 00:21:57.490 that solves a few annoying problems, rather inexpensively. Uh, 342 00:21:57.490 --> 00:22:00.570 it's similar to moving a switch on the flight tech or reordering a checklist,

343 00:22:00.670 --> 00:22:05.010 you know, simple thing that could really, uh, level up the way we're doing, uh, 344 00:22:05.350 --> 00:22:09.410 ths. However, uh, they're not perfect. In fact, uh, Nancy Levon, 345 00:22:09.410 --> 00:22:13.570 who we heard a lot about yesterday is quoted to in, in her paper, uh, 346 00:22:13.620 --> 00:22:17.730 wrote that bow ties are the least powerful and least useful modeling and 347 00:22:17.730 --> 00:22:22.610 diagramming language available. So, uh, yeah, 348 00:22:22.610 --> 00:22:24.210 no, no pressure. Right? Uh, 349 00:22:24.210 --> 00:22:27.290 so here's some benefits and drawbacks that we came up with after thinking about 350 00:22:27.290 --> 00:22:30.130 this all the way through. Uh, so the first benefit is, uh, 351 00:22:30.130 --> 00:22:32.650 mitigations can earn their space on there. You, 352 00:22:32.670 --> 00:22:36.130 you have to not have a serious mitigation. Uh, 353 00:22:36.230 --> 00:22:39.410 it has to be a barrier between a cause and a hazard or a hazard and an effect. 354 00:22:40.010 --> 00:22:42.830 Uh, the next thing that Darren talked about, you need direct mitigation mapping. 355 00:22:43.170 --> 00:22:47.710

Uh, you have to associate with a particular cause or a particular effect. 356 00:22:48.580 --> 00:22:52.790What this also means is that it's easier to figure out when you have a 357 00:22:52.790 --> 00:22:55.630 mitigation that's missing and what its impact might be. In this case, 358 00:22:56.210 --> 00:22:58.510 in the previous example, if I removed the knock it off aoa, 359 00:22:58.510 --> 00:23:02.630 there's a whole host of things that now, uh, might not be, might not be, 360 00:23:03.040 --> 00:23:06.520 might be closer to us than we were expecting. Uh, 361 00:23:06.520 --> 00:23:08.000 we also think it supplements the risk matrix, 362 00:23:08.070 --> 00:23:11.960 like I spoke about on the previous slide. Uh, and from a training standpoint, 363 00:23:11.980 --> 00:23:14.720 or from a learning standpoint or authoring standpoint, uh, 364 00:23:14.720 --> 00:23:17.920 we think it could reduce the copy paste potential because it provides the author 365 00:23:18.400 --> 00:23:22.200 a logical path to follow. Uh, similarly, or in addition to that, 366 00:23:22.260 --> 00:23:26.790 it also partially bridges that experience gap that we talked about by providing 367 00:23:26.830 --> 00:23:27.663 a logical pathway. 368

00:23:27.810 --> 00:23:31.150 It also allows the reviewer themselves to understand what the author was 369 00:23:31.150 --> 00:23:34.110 thinking, and very quickly figure out, Hey, 370 00:23:34.110 --> 00:23:35.470 this guy doesn't know what he's talking about. 371 00:23:35.490 --> 00:23:39.880 Here's some experience that I can give him and go back and update the T hha. Uh, 372 00:23:40.070 --> 00:23:43.600 last benefit is that we think it might improve briefing retention. 373 00:23:43.840 --> 00:23:48.700 A lot of people are visual, uh, visual learners, and more importantly, 374 00:23:48.700 --> 00:23:52.220 while we're on the airplane, be able to identify, here's a thing that we can, 375 00:23:52.820 --> 00:23:56.540 uh, take away that may impact us in greater detail. 376 00:23:59.190 --> 00:24:02.170 All right. So some of the drawbacks, the most, uh, 377 00:24:02.280 --> 00:24:06.530 obvious one is there's a very limited number of tools that are available that 378 00:24:06.530 --> 00:24:10.250 will just kind of do this for you and make the graphics. Uh, 379 00:24:11.680 --> 00:24:15.780 few people have the benefit that I had, which is MOO is a PowerPoint wizard, 380 00:24:16.160 --> 00:24:20.050 so that made these graphics easy. Um,

381 00:24:21.170 --> 00:24:24.700 obviously a training investment is always one that, uh, 382 00:24:25.900 --> 00:24:30.250 comes with some, some consternation. Uh, if we look at the test plan, 383 00:24:30.970 --> 00:24:34.530 I, I think we really need to retain the entire wall of text. 384 00:24:35.110 --> 00:24:36.850 And I would like to see us, uh, 385 00:24:37.600 --> 00:24:41.030 experiment with putting these graphics in, 386 00:24:41.530 --> 00:24:45.070 in an appendix or something, so they're available. Um, 387 00:24:45.530 --> 00:24:48.910 what's interesting to me is when it comes to test cards, 388 00:24:49.220 --> 00:24:53.110 whether these could replace our wallow text or not. So it could be a, 389 00:24:53.780 --> 00:24:57.020 I see it as a drawback in the test plan, take up more space, but, uh, 390 00:24:57.020 --> 00:25:01.730 test cards could actually be better potentially. Obviously, 391 00:25:01.730 --> 00:25:05.960 something like this, um, something that we've used for so long, 392 00:25:06.150 --> 00:25:10.560 there's a lot of organizational inertia and, uh, 393 00:25:10.990 --> 00:25:15.240 it's challenging to think about changing our ths in any way. So, um,

00:25:19.010 --> 00:25:22.510 And then, you know, we think it'll be a reduction in briefing length, 395 00:25:22.610 --> 00:25:27.400 but as people learn something new especially and try and figure 396 $00:25:27.420 \rightarrow 00:25:30.960$ out how to, uh, visualize our ths in a new way, 397 00:25:31.180 --> 00:25:34.560 it could actually run counter to that for us. 398 00:25:36.590 --> 00:25:41.410 So if we kind of pick all that into account and think about the future of our 399 00:25:41.530 --> 00:25:46.010 t a and where we may go with our risk process updates, 400 00:25:46.910 --> 00:25:51.650 um, we definitely don't think that this is the silver bullet, 401 00:25:51.870 --> 00:25:56.120 but, uh, I found it compelling enough to share and, 402 00:25:56.300 --> 00:26:00.920 and really enjoyed this journey we've gone on as we've kind of walked through 403 00:26:00.990 --> 00:26:04.440 some of our ths and thought about, um, uh, 404 00:26:04.440 --> 00:26:05.800 some of the things that we've uncovered. 405 00:26:06.300 --> 00:26:09.560 The other thing I'll point out is you can just as easily draw these on a, 406 00:26:09.740 --> 00:26:14.160 on a piece of paper or on a whiteboard, and use it as one of your tools, 407

00:26:14.300 --> 00:26:18.800 as you put a t hha together. So we don't have to wait for tools or processes. 408 00:26:19.500 --> 00:26:22.960 Um, and so with all that kind of in mind, 409 00:26:23.580 --> 00:26:27.800 we came up with three real questions that we wanted to leave y'all with. 410 00:26:28.620 --> 00:26:29.880 And the first is, uh, 411 00:26:30.260 --> 00:26:34.360 do our T HHA processes enable effective development and communication to our 412 00:26:34.360 --> 00:26:38.990 test crews? How badly are our formats and our processes holding us back? 413 00:26:41.370 --> 00:26:42.130 The second is, 414 00:26:42.130 --> 00:26:45.440 do we explore criticality and effectiveness of all our mitigations? 415 00:26:45.940 --> 00:26:50.360 It was certainly a, something that I had never done intentionally, uh, and, 416 00:26:50.540 --> 00:26:53.800 and I thought that was worth thinking about. And finally, 417 00:26:53.900 --> 00:26:57.760 do our test plan reviewers and test crews get the complete safety picture every 418 00:26:57.760 --> 00:27:02.060 single time, or we left with a.to dot that has a bunch of missing lines. 419 00:27:03.270 --> 00:27:08.060 So with that, we'd like your feedback and input.

420 00:27:09.000 --> 00:27:13.180 And marines, this is your time to shine. We'll take pictures. 421 00:27:24.160 --> 00:27:28.280 I have to congratulate you. This is the first time I hear 422 00:27:29.780 --> 00:27:34.260 a change of perception or approach to a th HHA since 423 00:27:34.940 --> 00:27:38.860 I, I, I was familiar 30 years ago or more, uh, 424 00:27:38.920 --> 00:27:42.300 on doing ths and doing safety. So I think, 425 00:27:42.540 --> 00:27:46.960 I think this is a great idea and, and if I were, uh, 426 00:27:47.160 --> 00:27:51.000 a CEO or a chief of flight test safety in my, in an organization, 427 00:27:51.160 --> 00:27:54.960 I would adopt this and I will start designing for it because, 428 00:27:55.180 --> 00:27:58.360 and I'll tell you why this is, 429 00:27:58.430 --> 00:28:02.920 this is kind of approaches the SST p process a little bit 430 00:28:02.950 --> 00:28:06.040 because there is more thinking in the, 431 00:28:06.100 --> 00:28:11.000 in this approach than there is in, in the one that we've been using in the past. 432 00:28:11.630 -> 00:28:16.480Because now you got mitigations for effects as well as hazards or

433 00:28:16.780 --> 00:28:18.700 causes, excuse me. So, 434 00:28:18.720 --> 00:28:22.820 and then you can break them down even lower at a lower level like you, 435 00:28:22.850 --> 00:28:25.420 like you did, like you showed. So now you, 436 00:28:25.420 --> 00:28:29.820 you're catching the missing mitigations that, that the s stpa process, 437 00:28:30.800 --> 00:28:35.020 uh, uh, uh, targets. Mm-hmm. To make sure you don't miss the, 438 00:28:35.040 --> 00:28:39.630 the mitigations as far as, uh, looking at the higher level, 439 00:28:40.330 --> 00:28:43.470 how do you show this, this, uh, this, uh, uh, 440 00:28:43.740 --> 00:28:46.830 picture to the approving authorities? Uh, 441 00:28:47.260 --> 00:28:49.310 they have to approve the risk levels, et cetera, 442 00:28:49.530 --> 00:28:53.690 but how do they know that you haven't missed any mitigations and showing that 443 00:28:54.580 --> 00:28:58.050 I, I have, I have, uh, 444 00:28:58.430 --> 00:29:03.050 always endorsed and, and I use it myself when I go flight test, 445 00:29:03.270 --> 00:29:03.850 but I, 446

00:29:03.850 --> 00:29:08.560 I got pushback at the workshop on the ths because I really believe that if 447 00:29:08.560 --> 00:29:13.280 you're gonna brief the T HHAs and you briefed 20 Ts, 448 00:29:13.510 --> 00:29:17.740 then I makes a two hour briefing where it could have been an hour or, or less, 449 00:29:18.790 --> 00:29:21.240 because you gotta go through 30 pages. If you have, 450 00:29:22.010 --> 00:29:25.150 you have 20 TTS for a flight, 4.51 00:29:25.730 --> 00:29:30.030 and in your kinds of airplanes, you're doing multiple types of tests. 452 00:29:30.030 --> 00:29:31.430 You're doing stability and control, 453 00:29:31.430 --> 00:29:33.830 you're doing all kinds of systems and whatever. 454 00:29:34.330 --> 00:29:36.910 So you have these whole bunch of ths. 455 00:29:36.970 --> 00:29:40.990 So if you brief the THS one at a time, forget it. 456 00:29:42.500 --> 00:29:46.070 Yeah. That's not the way to do it. You gotta combine them. And, 457 00:29:46.130 --> 00:29:49.830 and I say that that, and that's a, that's a dirty word for some of you, 458 00:29:51.250 --> 00:29:54.870 but you have to combine it because what happens on a flight test,

00:29:54.880 --> 00:29:56.670 let's say you do, you're doing a stall test, 460 00:29:58.610 --> 00:30:02.750 how many houses are an stall test? Okay, so there's five, six, 461 00:30:03.330 --> 00:30:04.950 you know, you mentioned just one. 462 00:30:06.630 --> 00:30:10.850 Are you gonna go through each one for the stall test and brief all the 463 00:30:10.850 --> 00:30:15.330 mitigations associated with that one? No. When you're doing a stall test, 464 00:30:15.830 --> 00:30:19.690 you are exposing the airplane to all of the hazards at the same time, 465 00:30:20.110 --> 00:30:24.450 not one at a time. So you have to combine them when you brief them, 466 00:30:25.750 --> 00:30:30.320 because that's cause then that, that may be instead of 30 or 20, 467 00:30:30.940 --> 00:30:35.020 you reduce it to 10 or less. Mm-hmm. And that shortens your briefing time. 468 00:30:35.360 --> 00:30:39.700 It keeps the attention of the crew and the, and the team members and, and, 469 00:30:39.720 --> 00:30:43.940 and it makes it easier to brief. So, so I, I recommend that we, 470 00:30:44.330 --> 00:30:49.140 that when we brief, uh, uh, uh, the th HHAs on a flight test, 471 00:30:49.200 --> 00:30:53.360 we combine them for that. The stall testing has four hazards. Okay.

00:30:54.250 --> 00:30:57.180 Combine 'em in one picture, all four, 473 00:30:57.640 --> 00:31:01.780 and then just list the mitigations for all four of them and brief them in 474 00:31:01.810 --> 00:31:03.940 because you're gonna experince at the same time. 475 00:31:04.000 --> 00:31:07.380 So you need all the mitigations for that one stall test. 476 00:31:08.340 --> 00:31:09.680 So congratulations. Thank you. 477 00:31:09.680 --> 00:31:10.920 Well, thank you. Yeah. 478 00:31:10.920 --> 00:31:13.840 That's one of the experiments we wanna run and haven't had a chance to yet, 479 00:31:14.180 --> 00:31:19.160 is to see could we display just the picture on a 480 00:31:19.160 --> 00:31:21.000 screen while we brief the test plan? 481 00:31:21.980 --> 00:31:24.200 And as we get to each type of test we're doing, 482 00:31:24.200 --> 00:31:26.760 change the picture to match the test, um, 483 00:31:26.860 --> 00:31:28.560 to see what that would do to our pre-flight. 484 00:31:28.580 --> 00:31:32.410 So that's an experiment we still wanna run and see where it takes us and, 485 00:31:32.630 --> 00:31:37.170

and experiment with how we visually represent the th ths for our preflight 486 00:31:37.170 --> 00:31:38.003 specifically. 487 00:31:39.670 --> 00:31:43.210 Hi guys. Uh, I'm Terry this age from Sirius Aircraft. First of all, 488 00:31:43.760 --> 00:31:47.730 this was really novel, uh, very cool, and looking around, 489 00:31:48.070 --> 00:31:50.930 seeing more of my colleagues taking pictures of the screen. 490 00:31:50.930 --> 00:31:55.050 You planted a lot of seeds here that are going to, uh, grow. So very well done. 491 00:31:55.780 --> 00:31:59.000 Um, the question I do have for you is, how would you go about, 492 00:31:59.000 --> 00:32:03.360 typically with th is there some kind of an emergency procedure that's defined 493 00:32:04.020 --> 00:32:04.960 in your bow type picture? 494 00:32:04.960 --> 00:32:07.240 Would you have one emergency procedure if the hazard happened, 495 00:32:07.240 --> 00:32:11.160 you did one thing that covers all the mitigations related to all the effects, 496 00:32:11.160 --> 00:32:13.480 or would each effect have its own emergency procedure? 497 00:32:13.480 -> 00:32:18.000Have you gotten that far into the nuts and bolts of it?

498 00:32:18.000 --> 00:32:18.833 Emergency procedure? 499 00:32:19.980 --> 00:32:21.960 Uh, so the, the simple answer is we haven't, 500 00:32:21.960 --> 00:32:25.560 we haven't figured that out with the bow tie specifically. Um, 501 00:32:26.100 --> 00:32:30.280 an early mentor of mine taught me that if you have more than one emergency 502 00:32:30.280 --> 00:32:34.360 procedure to a hazard, you need to think about the hazard again. Uh, 503 00:32:34.380 --> 00:32:36.480 so that's the more generic advice. I, 504 00:32:36.560 --> 00:32:40.840 I think what the bow tie will maybe help us with is 505 00:32:41.870 --> 00:32:44.850 eeking out those emergency procedures and making them more specific and more 506 00:32:44.850 --> 00:32:48.530 targeted in a way that, um, makes them eventually more effective. 507 00:32:49.680 --> 00:32:51.060 Any thoughts? More, more thoughts on that? 508 00:32:51.530 --> 00:32:53.830 Yeah. I think the way we, 509 00:32:54.090 --> 00:32:57.390 we have our emergency procedures in a separate section of our test plan, 510 00:32:57.880 - > 00:33:01.790we're immediately following our risk assessment, our T hha. Um,

511 00:33:02.380 --> 00:33:04.070 what I like about this is, 512 00:33:05.020 --> 00:33:07.400 as a reviewer or as a test plan author, 513 00:33:07.560 --> 00:33:12.200 I would read through all the mitigations that are there on the effects side 514 00:33:12.540 --> 00:33:13.880 of a, of all the hazards. 515 00:33:14.340 --> 00:33:17.600 And I would make sure that the emergency procedures have full coverage of those 516 00:33:17.600 --> 00:33:18.433 mitigations. 517 00:33:19.740 --> 00:33:22.860 I think it'll help us write better emergency procedures and more complete 518 00:33:22.860 --> 00:33:23.900 emergency procedures. 519 00:33:26.050 --> 00:33:30.860 Yeah. I, I like what you, you got there. Um, there's the, in, 520 00:33:30.880 --> 00:33:33.940 in your early slides, you identified two areas. 521 00:33:34.520 --> 00:33:36.140 One is the review process, 522 00:33:36.760 --> 00:33:39.740 and I think this really hits the nail on the head there. 523 00:33:40.450 -> 00:33:44.470The briefing process on the other hand, sometimes gets convoluted, uh,

524 00:33:44.470 --> 00:33:49.250 especially if you're repeating, uh, mitigations. Have you guys thought about, 525 00:33:50.350 --> 00:33:55.290 uh, a different format for the briefing that that would, uh, take into account, 526 00:33:56.150 --> 00:33:57.770 uh, those kind of things, 527 00:33:57.910 --> 00:34:02.130 the repeat mitigations mitigations that are built already 528 00:34:02.790 --> 00:34:05.090 on the, uh, on the cause side, 529 00:34:05.110 --> 00:34:08.890 we have a lot of mitigations that are built right into the test plan that a test 530 00:34:09.210 --> 00:34:12.810 director can say, yeah, yeah. Click, click. He doesn't have to brief that stuff. 531 00:34:13.120 --> 00:34:17.490 Yeah, he should, he should brief the stuff that is meaningful for the day. 532 00:34:18.090 --> 00:34:19.660 Have you guys thought about something like that? 533 00:34:20.570 --> 00:34:24.070 We, we thought about it, uh, to some extent. Again, 534 00:34:25.030 --> 00:34:26.890 no solution, but I think, uh, the, 535 00:34:26.910 --> 00:34:30.850 one of the ideas that Darren came up with was having the bow tie, uh,

00:34:30.870 --> 00:34:35.630 up on the screen while you brief a subset of mitigations, the, 537 00:34:35.630 --> 00:34:38.950 the stuff that you actually want to give the pilots that day, uh, 538 00:34:38.950 --> 00:34:40.310 and the pilots and the rest of the test crew, 539 00:34:40.330 --> 00:34:42.390 so that if they have a moment where they're like, 540 00:34:42.390 --> 00:34:44.590 I wonder why this is this way in the test plan, they can look up, 541 00:34:45.180 --> 00:34:48.240 see the bow tie, or frankly, just your th a go. Okay, 542 00:34:48.240 --> 00:34:51.140 that's where that's mapped. That's the cause or the effect, uh, 543 00:34:51.140 --> 00:34:52.420 that you're impacting, um, 544 00:34:52.550 --> 00:34:55.780 which should help the length of the briefings and really more importantly, 545 00:34:55.780 --> 00:34:58.380 the understanding and what people walk away with from the briefing. 546 00:34:59.570 --> 00:35:02.340 Yeah. And we, our best practice today is that we, 547 00:35:02.840 --> 00:35:05.300 in our wall of text version of our th a, 548 00:35:06.120 --> 00:35:08.500 we categorize all our mitigations. 549 00:35:09.170 --> 00:35:13.550

And so we have the ones that are in the planning phase or have to happen prior 550 00:35:13.550 --> 00:35:16.330 to the pre-flight, the ones that need to be briefed, 551 00:35:16.670 --> 00:35:19.050 and then the ones that apply during test execution, 552 00:35:19.870 --> 00:35:24.610 and we haven't worked through yet. This is part of the experiment of, uh, 553 00:35:25.030 --> 00:35:27.170 you know, what are we gonna do in pre-flight? And I, 554 00:35:28.020 --> 00:35:32.800 you're giving me more ideas about how to shade or color or segregate 555 00:35:33.420 --> 00:35:35.800 so that we focus in, I think during the brief, 556 00:35:35.820 --> 00:35:40.600 we should be focusing mostly on just the execution mitigations and let the rest 557 00:35:41.800 --> 00:35:43.010 take a backseat somewhere.