Experimental HMI Integration for Flight Tests

German Aerospace Center (DLR)

J. Heider & R. Geister



DLR – German Aerospace Center Introduction



- Research Institution
 - Aeronautics, Space, Transport, Energy, Security
- Space Agency
- Project Management Agency





Locations and Employees

- Almost 8000 employees across 34 institutes and facilities at
 18 sites
- Offices in Brussels, Paris and Washington
- Flight operation department at 2 locations
- Oberpfaffenhofen: atmospheric research and instrumented earth observation
- Braunschweig: flight research





Flight Test Infrastructure

- Flight tests for improvement of efficiency, safety and mitigation of environmental impact
- Development and demonstration of new technology concepts



- Evaluation of results from simulations and ground tests
- Development and certification of modifications of research aircraft
- Resources and competences in flight test and airborne research operation
- Part-21 Design Organization and Flight Operation Department including Aircraft Maintenance Organization
- Instrumented aircraft / telemetry ground station



Research Aircraft at a Glance







Briefing Content

- Motivation for HMI testing
- HMI integration and testing
 - Aircraft integration
 - Procedures and safety concept
- Research examples
 - FHS flight trials
 - ATRA flight trials
- Lessons Learned





Motivation for HMI Testing



HMI important in general (safety related) – Example "TCAS event"

How to put a FPL into such a system?

- HMI used for flight trails to compensate deficiencies in baseline infrastructure
- HMI as research objective itself





HMI Integration and Testing

- HMI research is a main objective at the Institute of Flight Guidance
- Different stages of HMI integration
- Development and primary evaluations conducted in cockpit simulator of the Institute of Flight Guidance
- Familiarization sessions with pilots and flight test engineers in the simulator
- HMI transfer to aircraft in a similar setup as in simulator
- Different aircraft require different HMI setups





Aircraft Integration - ATRA

Long term goals:

- Use basic avionics displays for experimental video signals
- Develop interface to standard autopilot

Workaround for short and medium term use:

- Use foldable, experimental cockpit display
- Use HMI so that pilot can follow desired flight guidance manually

Integration with internal and external design office

- Foldable display integrated by Lufthansa Technik
- Basic Flight Test Instrumentation integrated by Airbus
- Experimental Systems integrated by DLR



Aircraft Integration - ATRA







Aircraft Integration - CODE

Long term goals:

- Integrate digital autopilot with interface for experimental systems

Workaround for short and medium term use:

- Use fixed experimental cockpit displays
- Use HMI so that pilot can follow desired flight guidance manually

Integration with internal and external design office:

- Experimental Systems integrated by DLR
- Cockpit displays integrated by DLR in cooperation with RUAG Aerospace
- With displays installed, flights in VMC permitted only





Aircraft Integration - CODE



Aircraft Integration - FHS

- JedEye Helmet
- Binocular display system
- FOV: 80°x40° with 60°x40° overlap
- Compensating for head movement and aircraft maneuvers between video grabbing and display
- Distortion correction









Procedures & Safety Concept

Flight Test Procedure ATRA







Procedures & Safety Concept – ATRA Example

- Flight Order: Limitations foldable display
- For departures with additional cockpit display in use:
 - Deploy additional cockpit display only if aircraft is in clean configuration and not before reaching 1000ft AGL
 - VMC is mandatory from ground up to 3000ft AGL
- When additional cockpit display in use, then manoeuvring exceeding an Angle of Bank (AoB) of 33° must be performed at or above FL 100
- In case of any uncertainties with aircraft systems, navigation or air traffic control, the additional cockpit display must be stowed immediately
- When descending below Minimum Sector Altitude (MSA) during the experimental approaches, the flight path must be cross-checked with visual cues or raw navigational data (ILS / GPS / NDB/DME and altitude)
- The additional cockpit display must be stowed:
 - Below MSA when not in VMC
 - Otherwise latest at 500ft AGL





Procedures & Safety Concept – FHS Example

- Adjusting the position of the helmet until it feels comfortable
- Compensation of the divergence between the two displays (left and right) to achieve a unified sharp image while observing it with both eyes simultaneously (correlation process)
- Aligning the JedEye helmet with the longitudinal axis of the helicopter by overlapping the BRU symbol inside the helmet with the symbol inside the BRU (boresight reticle unit)
- Familiarization with JedEye's control unit (brightness and contrast) and symbology







Procedures & Safety Concept – General



- Ground Simulator (familiarization)
- Evaluation Pilot / Safety Pilot
- Concept used for every research aircraft





Research Examples

- Display evaluation of HMD in FHS
- Curved precision approaches using GBAS with ATRA
- Both already conducted in flight tests





Evaluation of Both State-of-the-Art and New Display Formats for Helicopter Flights under DVE Conditions

- Research objectives: Developing new 2D- and 3D-(virtual) conformal symbology for supporting the helicopter pilot during enroute flight and landing especially under degraded visual environments
- Examples of different 2D symbology:



Preliminary Results of Flight Tests with JedEye HMD

- Up to now, approximately 10 flights have been conducted with the system
- The evaluation pilots had to fill in a questionnaire after the flight tests.

Preliminary results:

- In general the results show overall good pilot ratings for the HMD
- Subjective results indicate high wearing comfort and visual comfort
- Visual aspects are rated well, including contrast, brightness and acuity
- Pilots report no somatic implications such as neck pain, headache or watery eyes during helmet use







Display Layouts for Curved Precision Approaches

- Different display layouts were used for ATRA flights
 - "Raw data" with ILS-look-alike indications
 - Map display
 - Diamond shaped deviation symbols
 - Adaptive runway direction indication









Display Layouts

- Additionally flight director in PFD (green bars)
- Tunnel display as alternative display concept







GBAS Curved Approaches

- Implementation of Terminal Area Procedures (TAP) at Braunschweig airport
- Curved precision approaches with continuous 3 degree slope
- Flight Trials with D-ATRA conducted



Flight Test Results – TAP A

- Manually conducted TAP A approaches with different display layouts
- Displacement sensitivities with RNP 0.1 values
 - Laterally 0.1NM (185m)
 - Vertically 50ft (15m)
- Laterally good results with tunnel display
- Laterally well below RNP 0.1
 FSD with all display layouts
- Vertically good results with all display layouts (constant glide slope)
- Vertically within 50ft FSD





Flight Test Results – TAP B

- Manually conducted TAP B approaches with different display layouts
- Generally better flight path following performance than during TAP A
- Laterally with tunnel display well below FSD for CAT I FSD at threshold
- Vertically good results with all display layouts (constant glide slope)
- Vertically within 50ft FSD





Lessons Learned

- Procedure "Flight Test" appropriate but under constant review
- Testing and crew-familiarization regarding HMI in ground simulators reduces irritations in flight
- ATRA-HMI-concept appropriate as mid-term solution
- Limitations could be reduced, if HUD or baseline display could be used for experimental data
- Future experiments require interface to the auto flight system of the basic aircraft (CODE & ATRA)





Thank you for your attention

jens.heider@dlr.de robert.geister@dlr.de



Backup



Ground Based Augmentation System (GBAS)



-Quelle: AENA, OPTIMAL_abschlusspraesentation







Institute of Flight Guidance – Operational GBAS research

- Segmented steep approaches
 - Compromise between noise reducing steep approaches and the resulting operational issues
 - Different flight trials conducted and evaluated
- Curved precision approaches
 - Terminal Area Path (TAP) functionality used for GBAS guided curved approaches
- GBAS based taxiing and auto taxi capabilities
 - Based on Terminal Area Path functionality





Segmented Steep Approaches - Layout

4500 4000 3500 3000 Altitude MSL [ft] 2500 --- 5° GPA ▲- - 4.5° GPA -3.5° GPA 2000 1500 1000 500 0 -16000 -14000 -12000 -10000 -8000 -6000 -4000 -2000 0 Distance to threshold [m]

Segmented Steep Approaches

- Two final approach segments (FAS data blocks) combined
- Steeper segment for noise reduction, standard segment for Touch Down





- No sensitivity issues but active FAS data to ground without real runway
- FAS switching has to be assured



Mean N1 reduction by approx. 5% with auto thrust, manual flight
Thrust near idle during steep segment with this aircraft (A320)





Outlook – Onboard Automation – Existing Gaps





Application of GBAS to Taxiing and On-Board Automation

- Typical taxi route in Frankfurt a.M. (EDDF) from RWY25L will be via TWY C
- Only some aircraft will go to southern or northern apron
- Large amount of standard routes can be defined with Ground-TAPs (standard segments) even at busy airport



The DLR – Site in Braunschweig, Germany







Integrated Simulation and Experimental Infrastructure

